

2024; 21(11): 2040-2051. doi: 10.7150/ijms.97797

2040

Research Paper

Common and Key Differential Pathogenic Pathways in Desminopathy and Titinopathy

Qiuxiang Li^{1,2*}, Liqun Xu^{1,2*}, Huiqian Duan^{1,2}, Huan Yang^{1,2}, Yue-Bei Luo^{1,2,3,4}

- 1. Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China.
- 2. Research Center for Neuroimmune and Neuromuscular disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China.
- 3. Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 4. Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

* These authors contributed equally

🖂 Corresponding author: Dr Yue-Bei Luo, Email: 4010012@csu.edu.cn; ORCID of Yue-Bei Luo: 0000-0002-1056-0154.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2024.04.26; Accepted: 2024.07.16; Published: 2024.08.01

Abstract

Myofibrillar myopathy (MFM) is a group of hereditary myopathies that mainly involves striated muscles. This study aimed to use tandem mass tag (TMT)-based proteomics to investigate the underlying pathomechanisms of two of the most common MFM subtypes, desminopathy and titinopathy. Muscles from 7 patients with desminopathy, 5 with titinopathy and 5 control individuals were included. Samples were labelled with TMT and then underwent high-resolution liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis. Compared with control samples, there were 436 differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) in the desminopathy group and 269 in the titinopathy group. When comparing the desminopathy with the titinopathy group, there were 113 DAPs. In desminopathy, mitochondrial ATP production, muscle contraction, and cytoskeleton organization were significantly suppressed. Activated cellular components and pathways were mostly related to extracellular matrix (ECM). In titinopathy, mitochondrial-related pathways and the cellular component ECM were downregulated, while gluconeogenesis was activated. Direct comparison between desminopathy and titinopathy revealed hub genes that were all involved in glycolytic process. The disparity in glycolysis in the two MFM subtypes is likely due to fiber type switching. This study has revealed disorganization of cytoskeleton and mitochondrial dysfunction as the common pathophysiological processes in MFM, and glycolysis and ECM as the differential pathomechanism between desminopathy and titinopathy. This offers a future direction for targeted therapy for MFM.

Keywords: myofibrillar myopathy; desminopathy; titinopathy; proteomics; cytoskeleton; glycolysis

Introduction

Myofibrillar myopathy (MFM) is a group of hereditary muscular disorder with heterogeneous involvement of musculoskeletal system, heart and peripheral nerves. The pathology of MFM is characterized by sarcomere and Z disc disarray, mitochondrial malformation, and abnormal proteins aggregation within muscle fibers. At least 17 genes have been identified as disease-causing for MFM [1]. In fact, MFM overlaps both phenotypically and genetically with other types of hereditary myopathies, such as limb-girdle muscular dystrophy and distal myopathy. The encoded proteins of these genes are mostly constitutive or functional members of the basic contractile unit of muscles, sarcomere or protein degradation machinery.

In our previously reported Chinese MFM cohort, *DES* and *TTN* are the most common pathogenic genes [2]. Desminopathy patients often present with distal myopathy and arrhythmia in adulthood, while titinopathy patients more frequently exhibit joint deformity and respiratory insufficiency, with significant variation in the age of onset. The encoded proteins (desmin and titin) of both genes are associated with sarcomere. Titin spans half of a sarcomere and acts as a spring for muscle contraction. It also provides the molecular blueprint for sarcomere assembly and regulates the actin-myosin interaction by altering the ATPase activity of myosin [3, 4]. The

possible pathomechanisms of mutated titin include defective sarcomere assembly, autophagy and calcium handling machinery, and mitochondrial dysfunction [5, 6]. Desmin 'wraps' around the Z disc and connects the neighbouring sarcomeres so that the parallel myofibrils can be functionally linked, as well as linked to other cellular organelles such as the mitochondria, sarcolemma, and nucleus. Mutations in *DES* can lead to thin filament destabilization, defective myogenesis and mitochondria, reduced cell elasticity, and force generation [7-11].

So far there are several proteomic studies on desminopathy, but very few have investigated the proteome of titinopathy patients or models [5, 12-16]. Nor is there any study comparing the proteomic changes between the two diseases. The present study aimed to perform comparative proteomic analysis on desminopathy and titinopathy in order to identify the common and distinctive pathophysiology of these two MFM subtypes.

Material and Methods

Study objects

Muscle biopsies from 7 cases with desminopathy, 5 cases with titinopathy and 5 control individuals were included for this study. The diagnosis of desminopathy and titinopathy was confirmed by next generation sequencing for neuromuscular disorders. Control muscles were from biopsies of individuals who were excluded from any neuromuscular disorders based on clinical presentation and laboratory test results. Clinical and laboratory data of patients and controls were collected and presented in Supplementary Table 1. All recruited patients have signed consent forms. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (201603284).

Sample preparation and TMT labeling

Muscle specimens of biceps brachii or quadriceps femoris of all patients were snap frozen by isopropene cooled in liquid nitrogen. Samples were ground and lysed with SDS buffer (Beyotime, China) containing 50 mM Tris, 1% SDS, sodium pyrophosphate, β-glycerophosphate, sodium orthovanadate, sodium fluoride and leupeptin. The lysate was sonicated using ultrasonic cell disruptor (Scientz, China). The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min twice. Then supernatant was collected for quantification of protein concentration using the BCA method. From each sample, 50 µg of protein was diluted by 25 mM DTT (Adamas-beta, China) to make the latter 5mM. After incubating at

55 °C for 55 min and then returning to room temperature, the solution was diluted bv iodoacetamide (BBI, China) to make the latter 10mM. Precooled acetone of six times the volume of the protein solution was added for precipitation. After incubation for 4 hr, the solution was centrifuged at 8000 g for 10 min at 4 °C and the precipitate was collected. After evaporation for 3 min, the precipitate was redissolved by 100 µL TEAB of 200 mM (Sigma-Aldrich, US; pH 8.5). Trypsin of 1mg/ml (protein: enzyme ratio= 50:1 (m/m)) was then added for digestion at 37 °C for 12 h. Finally, samples were lyophilized and stored at -80 °C.

For Tandem Mass tags (TMT, Thermo Fisher, the lyophilized samples were US) labelling, resuspended in 50 µL 100 mM TEAB. Acetonitrile of 20 µL (Thermo Fisher) were added at room temperature. The centrifuged reagents were dissolved for 5 min and mixed for centrifugation and repeat this step once. Each sample was labelled by 10 µL 18-plex TMT label reagent (A52047, Thermo Fisher) and incubated at room temperature for 1 hr. Finally, 5 µL of 5% hydroxylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to each sample and incubated for 15 min to terminate reaction. The labelled peptide solution was lyophilized and stored at -80 °C.

High-resolution liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis

Reverse Phase separation was performed on an 1100 HPLC System (Agilent, US) using an Agilent Zorbax Extend RP column (5 μ m, 150 mm × 2.1 mm). Mobile phases A and B (2% and 98% acetonitrile in HPLC water) were used for reverse-phase gradient. TMT labelled peptides were separated at a fluent flow rate of 300 μ L/min applying the following gradient: 0 to 8 min, 98% A; 8 to 8.01 min, 98 to 95% A; 8.01 to 48 min, 95 to 75% A; 48 to 60 min, 75 to 60% A; 60 to 60.01 min, 60 to 10% A; 60.01 to 70 min, 10% A; 70 to 70.01 min, 10 to 98% A; 70.01 to 75 min, 98% A. Solvent A was acetonitrile (ACN)-H2O (v/v, 2:98, pH titrated to 10 by ammonium hydroxide), while solvent B was ACN-H2O (v/v, 90:10, pH titrated to 10 by ammonium hydroxide). Peptides from min 0 to 8 were purified by C18 chromatographic columns. Eluted fractions from min 8 to 60 were collected by an automated fraction collector and combined into 15 fractions.

A Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Nanospray Flex source (Thermo Fisher) was used for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Samples were loaded and separated by a C18 column (15 cm \times 75 µm) on an EASY-nLCTM 1200 system (Thermo Fisher). A data-dependent acquisition mode was used for data collection. Full MS survey scans were acquired in the mass range of 350-1500 m/z with a mass resolution of 60000 and the automatic gain control (AGC) target value was set at 3×10^6 . The 20 most intense peaks in MS were fragmented with high-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) with collision energy of 32. MS/MS spectra were obtained with a resolution of 45000, an AGC target of 2×10^5 , a max injection time of 80 ms, an isolation window of 1.6 m/z, and an intensity threshold of 5.0×10^6 . The Q Exactive HF dynamic exclusion was set for 30.0 s and run under positive mode.

Protein identification

The acquired raw data were analysed with the ProteomeDiscoverer software (Thermo Fisher, version 2.4.1.15). The HCD MS/MS spectra were searched against the Uniprot-Homo sapiens database. Trypsin was set as the digestion enzyme with two allowed numbers of missed cleavage sites. Mass tolerances were set to 10 ppm and 0.02 Da for precursor and fragmentations. Alkylation of cysteine was considered as fixed modification. Carbamidomethylation of cysteines and TMT label on lysines and the N-terminus were set as static modifications. FDR was set to 0.01 and protein groups for quantification required at least one peptide. The protein concentrations were calculated by BCA method based on a standard curve and median normalization of proteomics data was performed. The abundance of the protein should be present in at least 3 samples in one patient group for subsequent analyses.

Identification and annotation of protein with abundance

Putative differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) were delineated by the following criteria: fold change $(FC) \ge 1.50 \text{ or } \le 0.67 \text{ and } p$ -value < 0.05. The clustered heatmap of DAPs was generated by R Graphics. Correlation of DAPs was analysed by Pearson coefficients. The shared and unique DAPs of the three groups were visualized by a Venn diagram. Annotation of identified proteins was performed using Gene Ontology database (GO, http://www.blast2go.com/b2ghome; http://geneontology.org/) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway (KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg/).

Enrichment analysis

GO and KEGG enrichment analysis were performed. A Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 was considered of statistical significance. Protein-protein interaction analysis was performed using STRING (https://string-db.org/). All proteins were ranked according to the degree of differential expression in Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) using GSEA software [17]. The top 10 terms based on enrichment scores were obtained from the biological process (BP), molecular function (MF) and cell component (CC) sub-ontology of GO analysis. The top 5 terms were visualized in ridge plots.

Construction of protein-protein interaction network and module analysis

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were constructed using STRING (https://string-db.org/). Significant modules in PPI networks and hub proteins (proteins that have higher possibility of being essential proteins [18]) were visualized using MCODE (v2.0.2, degree cutoff 2, node score cutoff 0.2, maximum depth 100, K-core 2) with Cytoscape software (v3.9.1). Hub genes were obtained by intersecting the top 10 gene sets each sorted by Degree, Maximum Neighborhood Component (MNC), and Edge Percolated Component (EPC) algorithms using CytoHubba (v0.1) plug-in. ClueGO plugin (v.2.5.10) with Cytoscape was used to visualize the enriched pathways of hub genes.

Muscle immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Sections of 8 µm thickness were cut using a cryostat (Leica CM1900). Hematoxylin/eosin (HE) and ATPase (pH 4.2, 4.6, 9.6) staining was performed. The degree of interstitial tissue proliferation of muscle tissues was determined as: no, mild, moderate and severe proliferation by two independent observers (Q.L. and Y.B.L.). Photomicrographs of ATPase pH 4.6 were taken in one to three fields under 40× magnification depending on the size of the muscle sections. The QuPath software (v.0.4.4) was used for quantification of the area of interest (AOI). During colour deconvolution, different threshold numbers were applied to differentiate type 1, 2A and 2B muscle fibers. The AOI for type 1 fibers was defined as the percentage of the immunoreactive area to the whole muscle section area of interest with the highest threshold number (ranging from 0.3 to 0.42). The AOI for type 2B fibers was defined as the area with the intermediate threshold number (0.18 to 0.28) minus the AOI of type 1 fibers. The AOI for type 2A fibers was defined as the area with the lowest threshold number (0.01 to 0.05) minus the AOI of the area with the intermediate threshold number (Fig. 1).

Statistical analysis

The significant difference of protein abundance was analyzed with independent t-test between two groups. For GO/KEGG analysis, the species proteins were used as the background list and the DAP list as the candidate list selected from the background list. The hypergeometric distribution testing was used to calculate the p-value for whether the functional sets were significantly enriched in the DAP list. Then the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was utilized to obtain FDR values. Analysis regarding muscle IHC was performed using SPSS (IBM, version 29.0). The comparison of the distribution of fiber type area was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the variance was assessed with the Levene's test. Two-sided independent t-tests were used to compare the AOI between groups.

Results

Identification of DAPs

Using an FDR value < 0.01, 32949 peptides and 3591 proteins were identified as belonging to the muscle proteome in this study. A total of 3296 proteins with abundance were used for subsequent analyses. The hierarchical clustering of DAPs was visualized by heatmaps (Fig. 2).

Compared with control samples, there were 436 DAPs in the desminopathy group (412 upregulated and 24 downregulated), and 269 DAPs in the titinopathy group (255 upregulated and 14 downregulated, Fig. 3A, B). When comparing the

desminopathy with the titinopathy group, there were fewer DAPs (62 upregulated and 51 downregulated). The number of overlapping and non-overlapping DAPs were visualized in a Venn diagram (Fig. 3C). Table 1 summarized the most dysregulated DAPs in these groups. The identified proteins and all DAPs were included in Supplementary Table 2-6.

Annotation of desminopathy-related DAPs and pathway enrichment analysis

GO analysis found that the DAPs in desminopathy were enriched in the cytosol, membrane, extracellular exosome in the 'cellular component' sub-ontology; in protein localization to plasma membrane, glycolytic process in the 'biological process' sub-ontology; and in actin binding, actin filament binding, structural constituent of cytoskeleton in the 'molecular function' sub-ontology (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Despite its capability of identifying dysregulated pathways, GO analysis was unable to reveal the overall functional state of each pathway. We therefore further performed GSEA on the three sub-ontologies to investigate the directionality of the enriched terms. Biological processes and pathways mainly involved in mitochondrial energy production, muscle contraction and cytoskeleton organization were significantly



Figure 1. Illustration of ATPase (pH 4.6) staining of muscle section from a desminopathy patient, and the colour deconvolution by different threshold numbers that enables fiber type differentiation.



suppressed (Fig. 5A, D, Supplementary Table 2). Activated cellular components and pathways were mostly related to the extracellular matrix (ECM), such as integrin binding, extracellular space, and collagen fibril organization (Fig. 5G, J, Supplementary Table 2). KEGG enrichment pathway analysis revealed similar enriched pathways (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

MCODE delineated 18 clusters of interconnecting sub-networks. Hub genes identified by CytoHubba were *ACTB*, *CDC42*, *CAT*, *ANXA2*, *ITGB1* and *CD44* (Table 2). These genes were not enriched in any particular pathways.

Annotation of titinopathy-related DAPs and pathway enrichment analysis

The DAPs in titinopathy compared with control samples were also enriched in cellular component as in the cytosol, cytoplasm, extracellular exosome and focal adhesion (Supplementary Fig. 1C, 1D). GSEA identified overall downregulated mitochondrialrelated pathways such as the tricarboxylic acid cycle, mitochondrial electron transport, and NADH dehydrogenase activity, which was similar to desminopathy (Supplementary Table 7).



Figure 3. Volcano plots demonstrating the DAPs between the desminopathy and control groups (A), and between the titinopathy and control groups (B). C. a Venn diagram showing overlapping and distinct DAPs among the three groups.

Table	1.	Тор	DAPs
i abic	••	100	

	desminopathy	1y vs control titinopathy vs control desminopathy vs titinopathy			athy							
	Gene Name	P-value	log2(FC)	FC	Gene Name	P-value	log2(FC)	FC	Gene Name	P-value	log2(FC)	FC
Down	ACTN3	0.012191	-3.06825	0.119224	COL4A2	1.26E-05	-1.83598	0.280102	ACTN3	0.016517	-2.09967	0.233312
	ATP1A3	0.000392	-2.12692	0.228946	ATP1A3	0.004081	-1.8137	0.28446	GSTT1	0.000965	-1.72241	0.303042
	AQP4	0.001474	-1.89799	0.268316	FBN1	0.010756	-1.47691	0.359256	AQP4	0.008475	-1.39373	0.38058
	MYH1	0.01166	-1.60529	0.328669	МҮОЗВ	2.56E-05	-1.2532	0.419515	CHORDC1	0.009503	-1.16802	0.445032
	CDK12	0.004814	-1.4954	0.354683	COL4A1	0.00015	-1.20091	0.434999	TNNC2	0.000385	-1.12318	0.459082
	MYH4	0.012062	-1.4498	0.366071	IDI2	0.018773	-1.09153	0.469264	ENO2	0.002649	-1.07946	0.473205
	ANKRD6	0.040654	-1.0602	0.479565	FNDC3A	0.002096	-1.0381	0.486968	PGAM2	0.013859	-1.00695	0.497597
	RAP1GDS1	0.000637	-1.04973	0.483059	TTN	0.000174	-0.9861	0.504839	MTPN	0.005946	-0.92514	0.526629
	ENO2	0.007077	-0.88716	0.540679	COL1A1	0.026492	-0.83717	0.559741	DOCK4	0.049735	-0.90933	0.532431
	MYLK2	0.031148	-0.84002	0.558637	SDHC	0.003397	-0.77786	0.58323	GPI	3.37E-06	-0.90147	0.535341
	PDLIM7	0.021057	-0.82767	0.563439	ZNF568	0.047485	-0.68983	0.619927	TMA7	0.006315	-0.89773	0.536732
	PGAM2	0.011868	-0.80222	0.573465	DUSP26	0.018944	-0.68877	0.620381	PGK1	0.023514	-0.85683	0.552165
	TNNC2	0.045652	-0.75791	0.591354	FAM91A1	0.007037	-0.66128	0.632318	UBE2G1	0.013461	-0.82623	0.564002
	PGK1	0.009721	-0.74737	0.595687	RAP1GDS1	0.025243	-0.65104	0.636821	PGK2	0.016095	-0.81473	0.568515
	ENO3	0.008165	-0.70967	0.611458					CYFIP1	0.007538	-0.80936	0.570636
Up	MAP4K4	0.039986	4.132363	17.5374	ALDOB	0.001259	3.597461	12.10441	DDN	0.003701	3.235111	9.415981
	OSGEPL1	0.027986	3.96956	15.66595	CPQ	0.001193	3.400836	10.56218	MLF2	0.001815	2.300981	4.927926
	DDN	0.002846	3.850608	14.42609	MAP4K4	0.043816	3.226091	9.35729	TRMT61A	0.002633	1.936327	3.827301
	ALDOB	9.03E-06	3.08675	8.495798	CALB1	0.000612	3.174984	9.031616	MARCHF7	0.002466	1.870884	3.657566
	MLF2	0.000892	2.956061	7.760023	OSGEPL1	0.018554	3.173536	9.022556	MLF1	0.003271	1.795941	3.472419
	DNAH3	0.028961	2.84415	7.180828	FBP1	0.000812	3.0751	8.427473	DNAJB6	0.000524	1.739207	3.338515
	CPQ	3.14E-05	2.838331	7.151922	ADH6	0.006588	2.95893	7.77547	ZNF568	0.019101	1.691008	3.228823
	CALB1	0.001104	2.818311	7.053362	SLC5A2	0.003975	2.885472	7.389474	RTL8B	0.039936	1.583283	2.99651
	ARFIP1	0.045415	2.798449	6.956922	FMO1	0.000226	2.876528	7.343808	NECAB3	0.022724	1.530698	2.889256
	TRMT61A	0.001025	2.728896	6.62948	GSTT1	2.81E-05	2.737856	6.670784	DUSP26	0.001219	1.45479	2.741166
	FBP1	9.05E-05	2.724798	6.610675	ALDH8A1	1.58E-05	2.562128	5.90578	SNRNP35	0.026466	1.282464	2.432541
	SOWAHD	0.030559	2.551236	5.86136	SLC9A3R1	7.92E-05	2.216567	4.64786	PPP1R3C	0.036009	1.263838	2.401337
	RCBTB2	0.031026	2.54483	5.835395	AASS	0.00054	2.173315	4.510585	MAGED1	0.000785	1.23865	2.359776
	ADH6	0.000605	2.521868	5.743253	SOWAHD	0.021241	1.873377	3.663891	DDIT4L	0.01177	1.214473	2.320561
	NQO1	0.027547	2.490768	5.62077	ORC1	0.028724	1.836466	3.571342	SSBP2	0.020458	1.200125	2.297596

FC: fold change

Another markedly suppressed functional group was ECM, including ECM organization and ECM structural constituent (Fig. 5B, E, Supplementary Table 7). However, the gluconeogenesis pathway in titinopathy was upregulated (NES 1.535, p-value 0.026), and the glycolytic process was slightly upregulated without statistical significance (NES 1.020, p-value 0.466). Other upregulated terms included microtubule associated complex, negative regulation of cell migration and endocytic recycling. Twelve sub-networks and nine hub genes were identified (Fig. 6A, Table 2).

Comparison between desminopathy and titinopathy

To identify the common and different pathways between desminopathy and titinopathy, we first used ClueGO to compare the two sets of DAPs in desminopathy and titinopathy. Most enriched pathways were shared between these two phenotypes except for lipid metabolism, which was dysregulated in only desminopathy (Fig. 4). The common hub genes in desminopathy and titinopathy were cytoskeleton- (*ACTB*, *ANXA2*), and ECM-related (*CD44*).



Figure 4. ClueGO comparison of desminopathy- and titinopathy-DAPs. Only terms at upper levels (more generalized terms, in black font) and the uniquely enriched pathways (in red font) were shown.

Table 2. Hub genes

Desminopathy versus control $ANXA2$ Annexin A21.330232.514430.00220 $ACTB$ Actin, cytoplasmic 11.164882.242150.00106 $CDC42$ Cell division control protein0.785131.723240.02153 42 homolog0.741731.672180.01505 $CD44$ CD44 antigen0.741731.672180.01505 CAT Catalase0.719381.646480.00633 $ITGB1$ Integrin beta-10.632911.550690.04343 $ENO2$ Gamma-enolase-0.887160.540680.00708 Titinopathy versus control ACTBActin, cytoplasmic 11.146742.214130.0057 $ANXA2$ Annexin A20.969441.958080.01012 $LGALS3$ Galectin-30.954511.937920.03182 $ANXA1$ Annexin A10.838891.788680.02650 $TAGLN$ Transgelin0.780881.718180.00877 $CD34$ Hematopoietic progenitor0.737831.667660.00282 $cell antigen CD34$ PPP1.515280.01268 $CD141$ Collagen alpha-1(I) chain-0.837170.559740.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy ENO6950.497600.01386 $ENO3$ Beta-enolase-1.079460.473200.00265 $PGAM2$ Phosphoglycerate mutase 2-1.06950.497600.01386 $ENO3$ Beta-enolase-0.789880.578350.00563 <td< th=""><th>Gene name</th><th>Protein name</th><th>log2(FC)</th><th>FC</th><th>P-value</th></td<>	Gene name	Protein name	log2(FC)	FC	P-value				
ANXA2 Annexin A2 1.33023 2.51443 0.00220 ACTB Actin, cytoplasmic 1 1.16488 2.24215 0.00106 CDC42 Cell division control protein 42 homolog 0.78513 1.72324 0.02153 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.74173 1.67218 0.01505 CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control 0.0057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.77808 1.71818 0.000877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 . . . PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta CD44 C0lagen alpha-1(l) chain	Desminopathy versus control								
ACTB Actin, cytoplasmic 1 1.16488 2.24215 0.00106 CDC42 Cell division control protein 0.78513 1.72324 0.02153 A2 homolog 0.74173 1.67218 0.01505 CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control 1.14674 2.21413 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 CD44 Clagen alpha-1(I) chain 0.83879 1.5128 0.01268 CD44 Collagen alpha-1(I) chain 0.49760 0.49760 0.02649 CD44 Collagen alpha-1(I) chain 0.49760	ANXA2	Annexin A2	1.33023	2.51443	0.00220				
CDC42 Cell division control protein 0.78513 1.72324 0.02153 42 homolog 0.74173 1.67218 0.01505 CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control versus control versus control ACTB Actin, cytoplasmic 1 1.14674 2.21413 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00282 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.69959 1.5128 0.01268 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.5128 0.02649 Desminopativerse stiti	ACTB	Actin, cytoplasmic 1	1.16488	2.24215	0.00106				
42 homolog CD44 CD44 antigen 0.74173 1.67218 0.01505 CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control 4.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 CD44 CD44 antigen CD34 1.52441 0.01115 factor receptor beta CD44 Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 CD141 Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 ENO2 Gamma-enolase	CDC42	Cell division control protein	0.78513	1.72324	0.02153				
CD44 CD44 antigen 0.74173 1.67218 0.01505 CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control 1.14674 2.21413 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 CD44 Itgen CD34 1.52441 0.01115 1.52451 0.01268 CD44 antigen CD34 1.52441 0.01268 0.01268 CD44 antigen CD34 1.52441 0.01115 1.52451 0.02649 CD44 antigen CD34 1.51528		42 homolog							
CAT Catalase 0.71938 1.64648 0.00633 ITGB1 Integrin beta-1 0.63291 1.55069 0.04343 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy versus control 4.88716 0.21143 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 cell antigen CD34 0.01115 1.52441 0.01115 Factor receptor beta 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(I) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopativersus titinopathy 0.01265 1.52441 0.01136 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.02649 PGAM	CD44	CD44 antigen	0.74173	1.67218	0.01505				
ITGB1Integrin beta-10.632911.550690.04343ENO2Gamma-enolase-0.887160.540680.00708 Titinopathy versus control </td <td>CAT</td> <td>Catalase</td> <td>0.71938</td> <td>1.64648</td> <td>0.00633</td>	CAT	Catalase	0.71938	1.64648	0.00633				
ENO2 Gamma-enolase -0.88716 0.54068 0.00708 Titinopathy ====================================	ITGB1	Integrin beta-1	0.63291	1.55069	0.04343				
Titinopathy versus control ACTB Actin, cytoplasmic 1 1.14674 2.21413 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.59959 1.51528 0.01168 CD14 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.02649 CD141 Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopativerase titinopathy 1.51528 0.01268 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78948 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78048 0.58219 0.00671 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78048 0.58753 0.0	ENO2	Gamma-enolase	-0.88716	0.54068	0.00708				
ACTB Actin, cytoplasmic 1 1.14674 2.21413 0.00057 ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.59959 1.5128 0.01168 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titnopathy 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titnopathy 0.55974 0.02649 PAGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat edhydrogenase 0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA	Titinopathy versus control								
ANXA2 Annexin A2 0.96944 1.95808 0.01012 LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy versus 1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.0695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78948 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat edhydrogenase -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A idolase A 0.61564 0.00220	ACTB	Actin, cytoplasmic 1	1.14674	2.21413	0.00057				
LGALS3 Galectin-3 0.95451 1.93792 0.03182 ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.02649 Desminopatty versus titinopathy 0.59959 1.51528 0.02649 Desminopatty versus titinopathy 0.59959 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 1.00796 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78978 0.58753 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat e dehydrogenase -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A aldolase A 0.69984 0.61564	ANXA2	Annexin A2	0.96944	1.95808	0.01012				
ANXA1 Annexin A1 0.83889 1.78868 0.02650 TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy 0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy 0.107946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 1.007946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78978 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat e dehydrogenase 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A aldolase A 0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 ALDOC Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C -0.65918 0.63324 <	LGALS3	Galectin-3	0.95451	1.93792	0.03182				
TAGLN Transgelin 0.78088 1.71818 0.00877 CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.06695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78978 0.58219 0.00671 CD4A Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat e dehydrogenase 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220	ANXA1	Annexin A1	0.83889	1.78868	0.02650				
CD34 Hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen CD34 0.73783 1.66766 0.00282 PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 CD141 Collagen alpha-1(I) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy versus 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.06695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat e dehydrogenase -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A aldolase A -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 ALDOC Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	TAGLN	Transgelin	0.78088	1.71818	0.00877				
PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 CD141 Collagen alpha-1(l) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.0695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00671 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 ALDOC Fructose-bisphosphate -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	CD34	Hematopoietic progenitor	0.73783	1.66766	0.00282				
PDGFRB Platelet-derived growth 0.60825 1.52441 0.01115 factor receptor beta 6.09825 1.51241 0.01115 CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(l) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 GAPD4 Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Clyceraldehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 chain Chain -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570		cell antigen CD34							
CD44 CD44 antigen 0.59959 1.51528 0.01268 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(l) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 DesminopatHy versus titinopathy versus titinopathy versus titinopathy 0.0265 PGAM2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00671 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01220 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	PDGFRB	Platelet-derived growth	0.60825	1.52441	0.01115				
CD44 CD44 antigen 0.39999 1.51528 0.01288 COL1A1 Collagen alpha-1(l) chain -0.83717 0.55974 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy versus titinopathy 0.0265 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00663 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.76727 0.58753 0.001314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01220 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A -ultobase C -ultobase C -ultobase C -ultobase C	CD44	factor receptor beta	0 50050	1 51500	0.010(0				
Collagen alpha-1(1) chain -0.83/17 0.559/4 0.02649 Desminopathy versus titinopathy 0.0265 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.07946 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00663 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 e dehydrogenase -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain -0.76727 0.58753 0.01220 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	CD44	CD44 antigen	0.59959	1.51528	0.01268				
Desminopathy versus trimopathy ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 e dehydrogenase - - 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01210 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A - -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570		COLIAI Collagen alpha-1(l) chain		0.55974	0.02649				
ENO2 Gamma-enolase -1.07946 0.47320 0.00265 PGAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.0695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 e dehydrogenase - -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01203 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A - -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570 aldolase C - - - -	Desminopathy versus titinopathy								
PCAM2 Phosphoglycerate mutase 2 -1.00695 0.49760 0.01386 ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 e dehydrogenase - 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 chain - -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A - -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570 aldolase C - - 0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	ENO2	Gamma-enolase	-1.07946	0.47320	0.00265				
ENO3 Beta-enolase -0.78998 0.57835 0.00563 GAPDH Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat -0.78044 0.58219 0.00671 e dehydrogenase -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 LDHA L-lactate dehydrogenase A -0.76727 0.58753 0.01314 ALDOA Fructose-bisphosphate -0.69984 0.61564 0.00220 aldolase A -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570 aldolase C -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570	PGAM2	Phosphoglycerate mutase 2	-1.00695	0.49760	0.01386				
GAPDHGlyceraldehyde-3-phosphat-0.780440.582190.00671e dehydrogenasee dehydrogenase0.01314LDHAL-lactate dehydrogenase A-0.767270.587530.01314ALDOAFructose-bisphosphate-0.699840.615640.00220aldolase AALDOCFructose-bisphosphate-0.659180.633240.00570aldolase C-0.659180.633240.00570	ENO3	Beta-enolase	-0.78998	0.57835	0.00563				
LDHAL-lactate dehydrogenase A chain-0.767270.587530.01314ALDOAFructose-bisphosphate aldolase A-0.699840.615640.00220ALDOCFructose-bisphosphate aldolase C-0.659180.633240.00570	GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat e dehydrogenase	-0.78044	0.58219	0.00671				
ALDOAFructose-bisphosphate aldolase A-0.699840.615640.00220ALDOCFructose-bisphosphate aldolase C-0.659180.633240.00570	LDHA	L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain	-0.76727	0.58753	0.01314				
ALDOC Fructose-bisphosphate -0.65918 0.63324 0.00570 aldolase C	ALDOA	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase A	-0.69984	0.61564	0.00220				
	ALDOC	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C	-0.65918	0.63324	0.00570				

FC: fold change

We next used the DAPs generated by directly comparing the two MFM subtypes for GSEA (Supplementary Table 7). Compared with titinopathy, glycolytic process and gluconeogenesis were the most significantly downregulated biological processes in desminopathy (Fig. 5C, F, Supplementary Fig. 1E, F). Upregulated pathways in desminopathy were ribosome, translation and ECM (Fig. 5I, L). Mitochondria-related pathways and processes were less suppressed in desminopathy than in titinopathy. Interestingly, all seven hub genes were grouped into cluster 1 as identified by MCODE (Fig. 6B), and were involved in the glycolytic process (Fig. 6C, Table 2). Visualized expression levels of all hub genes were presented in Supplementary Fig.2.

Histochemistry findings of desminopathy and titinopathy

There was no difference in the degree of interstitial tissue proliferation between desminopathy and titinopathy. Desminopathy had a higher proportion of type 1 fibers compared to titinopathy (p = 0.02, Fig. 7), but the differences in type 2A and 2B were not significant (p = 0.076, 0.709 respectively, Fig. 7).

Discussion

The present proteomic study has identified common mitochondrial abnormalities, particularly complex I dysfunction, in both desminopathy and titinopathy. The two MFM subtypes also have distinct pathogenic processes. Desminopathy has more severe disorganization cvtoskeleton and activated **ECM-related** Disturbed cholesterol process. metabolism is only found in desminopathy. On the other hand, titinopathy shows significant ECM suppression. Glucose metabolism is suppressed in desminopathy, while activated in titinopathy.

Our study has revealed pronounced dysregulation of cytoskeleton in both desminopathy and titinopathy. Myofiber cytoskeleton consists of three interconnecting networks: microtubules, actin filaments (F-actin) and desmin intermediate filaments (IFs). Microtubules play a major role in the subcellular positioning of organelles, especially myonuclei [19]. F-actin participates in muscle contraction by associating with myosin, and cell motility through dynamic re-organization [20]. IFs provide structural support to cells. All three cytoskeleton sub-networks are upregulated in desminopathy and titinopathy, with the actin cytoskeleton being the most overexpressed in desminopathy and the microtubule in titinopathy. Desmin is a muscle-specific type III IF protein that is located at the periphery of the Z disc and connects adjacent sarcomeres. It builds a scaffold structure around Z discs, thus ensures lateral force transmission in the form of costamere. Mutant desmin is found to destabilize F-actin by its defective interaction with nebulin and the malfunctioning desmin dimer complex [7, 21]. Malfunction of the actin cytoskeleton compromises myofiber integrity, signal and force transduction and cell migration. Compared with desmin, which contributes to the lateral compliance of myofibers, the gigantic protein titin plays a more significant role in regulating sarcomere longitudinal force and muscle passive tension through its association with both thin and thick filaments. It spans across the Z disc and M line, and functions as a spring for myofibril contraction and stretching. Titin is connected to microtubules through the muscle-specific RING finger proteins (MURFs). Microtubules transiently assists in the elongation of titin during sarcomere assembly [22]. However, how defective titin affects microtubules remains unclear.

Glucose metabolism, including glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and PPP, shows different functional state in desminopathy and titinopathy. The expression of five enzymes related to glycolysis and glyconeogenesis are suppressed in desminopathy, suggesting compromised glucose metabolism. Interestingly, these enzymes are involved in three catalytic reactions, involving consecutive the from 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate conversion to phosphoenolpyruvate. Our finding contradicts Elsnicova's study, which used desmin null cardiomyocytes and demonstrated increased glucose metabolism [13]. The mutant desmin protein in our patients is either truncated or contains an amino acid substitution. Another difference between our and Elsnicova's study is that the expression of the mutant

desmin is elevated, unlike the complete absence of

one possible explanation for the discrepancy. In a rat model for titinopathy, the metabolism of heart was found to shift from fatty acid to glycolysis [23], which is in accordance with our findings. We have identified six glucometabolism regulatory genes that are differentially abundant in MFM. Products of all these genes (fructose-biphosphate aldolase, gylceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoglycerate mutase, enolase and lactate dehydrogenase) are involved in glycolysis process, suggesting metabolism shift in MFM.



Figure 5. GSEA results using GO terms. Ridge plots showing the top 10 dysregulated pathways in desminopathy (A, downregulated; G, upregulated), in titinopathy (B, downregulated; H, upregulated), and in desminopathy compared with titinopathy (C, downregulated; I, upregulated). GSEA plots showing the top 5 dysregulated pathways in desminopathy (D, downregulated; J, upregulated), in titinopathy (E, downregulated; K, upregulated), and in desminopathy compared with titinopathy (F, downregulated; L, upregulated) with titinopathy (F, downregulated; L, upregulated).



Figure 6. Selected illustration of sub-networks of the DAPs in titinopathy compared with controls (A) and in desminopathy compared with titinopathy (B). Hub genes were designated as red coloured nodes. Sizes of nodes increase with computed scores. Degrees of shade of connecting lines also positively correlate with gene co-expression. C, enriched pathways of the hub genes in desminopathy compared with titinopathy.



Figure 7. Photomicrophaphs of ATPase (pH 4.6) staining showing type 1 predominance in a desminopathy patient (DES) and type 2 predominance in a titinopathy patient (TTN). ×40 magnification.

The unbalanced glycolytic process in desminopathy and titinopathy can be at least partly explained by fiber type disproportion as illustrated by our ATPase staining. The type 1 fibers were increased and type 2 fibers were accordingly decreased in desminopathy compared with titinopathy muscles. In muscle tissues, type 1 fibers are oxidative fibers that depend on mitochondrial aerobic respiration to generate ATP. Type 2B fibers are fast glycolytic fibers that mainly use anaerobic glycolysis for energy production, whereas type 2A fibers are intermediate fibers whose energy machinery involves both oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis. In agreement with the ATPase staining results, the type 2 fiber-associated proteins alpha-actin-3 (ACTN3) and (TNNC) are among the most troponin C downregulated DAPs in desminopathy compared to titinopathy (Table 1). The mechanism underlying muscle fiber type switching warrants further investigation.

The difference in ECM identified by the present study cannot be explained by disease duration as our desminopathy patients have shorter duration than the titinopathy patients do (7.33±2.34 yr vs 11.83±10.99 yr). Neither is this disparity observable on muscle pathology, as we did not find higher extent of fibrosis in desminopathy muscles. Apart from being a pivotal member of costamere, desmin also associates with transmembrane receptor integrins, which control cell adhesion and migration by binding to components of The heart of desmin null mice ECM [24]. demonstrated increased levels of transforming growth factor-1 and extensive fibrosis [25]. The upregulated cell migration, adhesion process, and ECM found in our desminopathy patients is likely to be a compensatory mechanism of the defective desmin. Dynamic ECM remodeling is also involved in

muscle repair. The ECM is partially degraded to facilitate the migration of activated satellite cells to the site of the damaged muscle [26]. Fibroblasts are activated to transiently produce ECM components including type I and III collagen, laminin and proteoglycan during muscle regeneration. The activated ECM related processes in desminopathy may also be related to active muscle regneration. Titinopathy, on the other hand, has reduced expression of ECM component proteins, including type I and IV collagen, and fibrillin-1. An interesting finding from Hettige et al.'s study on a titinopathy mouse model (muscular dystrophy with myositis, mdm) is that the genes related to ECM are downregulated in the extensor digitorum longus, but upregulated in the psoas and soleus [27], reflecting differential reactions to TTN mutations from different muscle groups. The majority of muscles in this study were taken from the biceps brachii, except for two desminopathy cases (DES-6 and -7), which were from the gastrocnemius. It is not clear if the differential trend of ECM is replicated in human patients.

Desmin participates in the maintenance of mitochondrial localization, shape and respiratory chain function [28]. It anchors mitochondria to sarcomeres. This spatial proximity facilitates timely intake of ATP once generated by the respiratory chain. Desminopathy muscles exhibit altered mitochondrial morphology and function. Mutant desmin reduces protein levels of all five respiratory chain complexes and suppresses respiratory function, especially complex I activity [16, 29]. A weighted gene co-expression network analysis using RNA-Seq data from the muscles of mdm mice also found reduced complex I activity [27], which is in accordance with our findings. The extracellular exosome is found to be significantly dysregulated in both desminopathy and titinopathy. Exosomes have rarely been studied in MFM. One study found that the structure and function of mitochondria were compromised in desminopathy, causing subsequent mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) release in form of exosome in late stage of myofiber differentiation [29]. Exosomes secreted by myotubes have been shown to direct stem cells to myogenesis [30]. HyperCKemia as well as active muscle necrosis and regeneration is common in MFM. It is likely that exosomes, at least partly containing mtDNA, play an important part in inducing muscle regeneration in MFM.

The present study has limitations. Due to the rarity of MFM, the sample sizes of desminopathy and titinopathy are small. For the delineation of putative DAPs, we have employed the p-values instead of adjusted p-values obtained through multiple testing corrections. This method leverages a more permissive inclusion of potential DAPs in exchange for the number of false positives [31]. The use of multiple testing corrections in proteomic studies may be limited by several factors such as medium scale, ratio compression in labelled experiments and the possibility of increased false negatives, as extensively discussed in [32]. Therefore, we also included the FC values for DAP definition. This is an exploratory study in which we aim to identify the concordant differences in pathways in MFM muscles using the potential DAP repertoire. We have refrained from drawing conclusions on any single DAP identified by this method.

The salient point of the present study is that MFM patients of different subtypes share cytoskeleton dys-organization and mitochondrial dysfunction, albeit to varying degrees. One important difference in the pathomechanisms of desminopathy and titinopathy is the differentially dysregulated glycolytic process and ECM. Fiber type disproportion at least partly accounts for the suppressed glycolytic process in desminopathy. This offers future direction for targeted therapy for MFM.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures, table legends. https://www.medsci.org/v21p2040s1.pdf Supplementary tables. https://www.medsci.org/v21p2040s2.xlsx

Acknowledgements

Funding

81601094).

Availability of data and information

The original data of the proteomic study has been uploaded to iProX (https://www.iprox.cn/ page/home.html, IPX0007957000).

Author contributions

L.X. analysed data. Q.L. and H.D. acquired muscle biopsies and performed experiments. H.Y. conceptualized the study and reviewed the manuscript. Y.B.L. conceptualized the study and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Fichna JP, Maruszak A, Zekanowski C. Myofibrillar myopathy in the genomic context. J Appl Genet. 2018; 59: 431-9.
- Luo YB, Peng Y, Lu Y, Li Q, Duan H, Bi F, et al. Expanding the Clinico-Genetic Spectrum of Myofibrillar Myopathy: Experience From a Chinese Neuromuscular Center. Front Neurol. 2020; 11: 1014.
- Peckham M, Young P, Gautel M. Constitutive and variable regions of Z-disk titin/connectin in myofibril formation: a dominant-negative screen. Cell Struct Funct. 1997; 22: 95-101.
- Gregorio CC, Trombitas K, Centner T, Kolmerer B, Stier G, Kunke K, et al. The NH2 terminus of titin spans the Z-disc: its interaction with a novel 19-kD ligand (T-cap) is required for sarcomeric integrity. J Cell Biol. 1998; 143: 1013-27.
- Schick R, Mekies LN, Shemer Y, Eisen B, Hallas T, Ben Jehuda R, et al. Functional abnormalities in induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-derived cardiomyocytes generated from titin-mutated patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. PLoS One. 2018; 13: e0205719.
- Adams M, Fleming JR, Riehle E, Zhou T, Zacharchenko T, Markovic M, et al. Scalable, Non-denaturing Purification of Phosphoproteins Using Ga(3+)-IMAC: N2A and M1M2 Titin Components as Study case. Protein J. 2019; 38: 181-9.
- Conover GM, Gregorio CC. The desmin coil 1B mutation K190A impairs nebulin Z-disc assembly and destabilizes actin thin filaments. Journal of Cell Science. 2011; 124: 3464-76.
- Hollrigl A, Puz S, Al-Dubai H, Kim JU, Capetanaki Y, Weitzer G. Amino-terminally truncated desmin rescues fusion of des(-/-) myoblasts but negatively affects cardiomyogenesis and smooth muscle development. FEBS Lett. 2002; 523: 229-33.
- Weisleder N, Taffet GE, Capetanaki Y. Bcl-2 overexpression corrects mitochondrial defects and ameliorates inherited desmin null cardiomyopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101: 769-74.
- Even C, Abramovici G, Delort F, Rigato AF, Bailleux V, de Sousa Moreira A, et al. Mutation in the Core Structure of Desmin Intermediate Filaments Affects Myoblast Elasticity. Biophys J. 2017; 113: 627-36.
- Charrier EE, Asnacios A, Milloud R, De Mets R, Balland M, Delort F, et al. Desmin Mutation in the C-Terminal Domain Impairs Traction Force Generation in Myoblasts. Biophys J. 2016; 110: 470-80.
- 12. Jiang H, Hooper C, Kelly M, Steeples V, Simon JN, Beglov J, et al. Functional analysis of a gene-edited mouse model to gain insights into the disease mechanisms of a titin missense variant. Basic Res Cardiol. 2021; 116: 14.
- Elsnicova B, Hornikova D, Tibenska V, Kolar D, Tlapakova T, Schmid B, et al. Desmin Knock-Out Cardiomyopathy: A Heart on the Verge of Metabolic Crisis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022; 23: 12020.
- Shintani-Domoto Y, Hayasaka T, Maeda D, Masaki N, Ito TK, Sakuma K, et al. Different desmin peptides are distinctly deposited in cytoplasmic aggregations and cytoplasm of desmin-related cardiomyopathy patients. Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom. 2017; 1865: 828-36.
- Chen Y, Zheng J, Chen S, Zhu M, Hong D. Mitochondrial proteomics reveal potential targets involved in mitochondrial abnormalities of desminopathy. Clin Neuropathol. 2017; 36 (2017): 15-22.
- Winter L, Wittig I, Peeva V, Eggers B, Heidler J, Chevessier F, et al. Mutant desmin substantially perturbs mitochondrial morphology, function and maintenance in skeletal muscle tissue. Acta neuropathologica. 2016; 132: 453-73.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number

- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 15545-50.
- Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. BMC Syst Biol. 2014; 8 Suppl 4: S11.
- Lucas L, Cooper TA. Insights into Cell-Specific Functions of Microtubules in Skeletal Muscle Development and Homeostasis. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023; 24: 2903.
- Bisi S, Disanza A, Malinverno C, Frittoli E, Palamidessi A, Scita G. Membrane and actin dynamics interplay at lamellipodia leading edge. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2013; 25: 565-73.
- Chourbagi O, Bruston F, Carinci M, Xue Z, Vicart P, Paulin D, et al. Desmin mutations in the terminal consensus motif prevent synemin-desmin heteropolymer filament assembly. Exp Cell Res. 2011; 317: 886-97.
- Pizon V, Iakovenko A, Van Der Ven PF, Kelly R, Fatu C, Furst DO, et al. Transient association of titin and myosin with microtubules in nascent myofibrils directed by the MURF2 RING-finger protein. J Cell Sci. 2002; 115: 4469-82.
- Schafer S, de Marvao A, Adami E, Fiedler LR, Ng B, Khin E, et al. Titin-truncating variants affect heart function in disease cohorts and the general population. Nat Genet. 2017; 49: 46-53.
- Hakibilen C, Delort F, Daher MT, Joanne P, Cabet E, Cardoso O, et al. Desmin Modulates Muscle Cell Adhesion and Migration. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2022; 10: 783724.
- Mavroidis M, Capetanaki Y. Extensive induction of important mediators of fibrosis and dystrophic calcification in desmin-deficient cardiomyopathy. Am J Pathol. 2002; 160: 943-52.
- Chen X, Li Y. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in skeletal muscle: migration, differentiation, regeneration and fibrosis. Cell Adh Migr. 2009; 3: 337-41.
- Hettige P, Tahir U, Nishikawa KC, Gage MJ. Transcriptomic profiles of muscular dystrophy with myositis (mdm) in extensor digitorum longus, psoas, and soleus muscles from mice. BMC Genomics. 2022; 23: 657.
- Milner DJ, Mavroidis M, Weisleder N, Capetanaki Y. Desmin Cytoskeleton Linked to Muscle Mitochondrial Distribution and Respiratory Function. Journal of Cell Biology. 2000; 150: 1283-98.
- Smolina N, Khudiakov A, Knyazeva A, Zlotina A, Sukhareva K, Kondratov K, et al. Desmin mutations result in mitochondrial dysfunction regardless of their aggregation properties. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2020; 1866: 165745.
- Choi JS, Yoon HI, Lee KS, Choi YC, Yang SH, Kim IS, et al. Exosomes from differentiating human skeletal muscle cells trigger myogenesis of stem cells and provide biochemical cues for skeletal muscle regeneration. J Control Release. 2016; 222: 107-15.
- Shuken SR, McNerney MW. Costs and Benefits of Popular P-Value Correction Methods in Three Models of Quantitative Omic Experiments. Anal Chem. 2023; 95: 2732-40.
- Pascovici D, Handler DC, Wu JX, Haynes PA. Multiple testing corrections in quantitative proteomics: A useful but blunt tool. Proteomics. 2016; 16: 2448-53.