## Supplementary results



Figure S1. Anticancer peptide (Q7) inhibited HEC-1-A cells.
With or without treatments (peptides conc.: $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 0 h (the upper) and 24 h (the lower), the representative photographs of cells were shown and observed under microscopy (100x).


Figure S2. Volcano plots and heatmaps showed differentially expressed genes for different time of treatment.

A volcano plot was showed differentially expressed genes of HEC-1-A cells under Q7 treatment for $1 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $6 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{C})$, which significantly up and down-regulated genes were filtered $\left(\log _{2}\right.$ Fold Change $> \pm 1$, p-value $<.05$ or p.adjust<.005) and highlighted in red and blue dots, respectively. Heatmaps exhibited significant differential genes involved in AKT/mTOR pathway for 1-h (B) and 6-h (D).


Figure S3. Q7 repressed the phosphorylation of AKT-kinase.
After 6 h incubation of $\mathrm{Q} 7(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$, sQ7 and nACP , the AKT protein expression of HEC-1-A cells was detected by using western blotting.


Figure S4. The expression of Caveolin 1 in HEC-1-A cells under Q7 treatment.
After 2 h treatment $(\mathrm{Q} 7,100 \mu \mathrm{M})$, the cells were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 15 min, permeabilized with $0.25 \%$ Triton X-100 for 10 min , and blocked with 5\% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were labeled with Caveolin 1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Thermo) at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in $1 \%$ BSA and incubated for 3 h at room temperature and then labeled with goat anti-rabbit $\operatorname{IgG}(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{L})$ Superclonal ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor® 488 conjugate (Thermo) at a dilution of 1:2000 for 45 min at room temperature (green). Nuclei (blue) were stained with DAPI (Thermo). Scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S5. Q7 induced the expression of cell autophagy marker, LC3B, in HEC-1A cells.

The LC3B protein expression was investigated HEC-1-A cells were treated with Q7 for different time points by using western blotting ( 0,12 , and 24 h ).
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Figure S6. LPPC-Q7 efficiently causes cell death than Q7 alone treatment.
(A) Cell viability was determined that LPPC-Q7 provided the anticancer activities of Q7 in HEC-1-A cells. **: Indicated a significant difference between LPPC-Q7 and Q7 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). (B) The representative photographs of HEC-1-A cells at different time points were showed and observed under microscopy (100x).

| NO. | Sequence | anticancer mechanism | others |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | FKGGGPYGQGVTRGQDLSGKDF | Unknown | Unknown |
| 2 | AIPCGESCVWIPCISAAIGCSCKNKVCYR | Unknown | strong lytic activity against human neutrophils |
| 3 | MEFVAKLFKFFKDLLGKFLGNN | probably disrupt membranes | plant defense mechanism |
| 4 | GSIPCGESCVWIPCISSVVGCACKNKVCYKN | cell-penetrating |  |
| 5 | YKQCHKKGGHCFPKEKICIPPSSDFGKMDCRWRWKCCKKGSG | probably disrupt membranes | plant defense mechanism; antibiotic activity; anti-HIV |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | GVIPCGESCVFIPCISTLLGCSCKNKVCYRN | Unknown | small plant proteins which are toxic to animal cells |
| 7 | KSCCPNTTGRNIYNTCRFGGGSREVCASLSGCKIISASTCPSYPDK | Unknown; probably toxic effect on the cell membrane |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | GLLGVLGSVAKHVLPHVVPVIAEHL | disrupt membranes; TNF- $\alpha$ pathway | plant defense mechanism |
| 9 | SVTPIVCGETCFGGTCNTPGCSCSWPICTK | probably disrupt membranes | Unknown |
| 10 | EQQQQQQPQNRRFRE | Unknown |  |

Table S1. The information of ten anticancer peptides from iDACP.

| Taxol $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | LPPC-Q7 $(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | CI value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 6.25 | 0.44 |
| 25 | 6.25 | 0.35 |
| 12.5 | 6.25 | 0.47 |
| 6.25 | 6.25 | 0.39 |
| 3.125 | 6.25 | 0.64 |
| 1.5625 | 6.25 | 0.57 |
| 0.78125 | 6.25 | 0.75 |
| 3.125 | 100 | 0.35 |
| 3.125 | 50 | 0.33 |
| 3.125 | 25 | 0.41 |
| 3.125 | 12.5 | 0.46 |
| 3.125 | 6.25 | 0.48 |
| 3.125 | 3.125 | 0.53 |
| 3.125 | 1.5625 | 0.67 |
|  |  |  |
| DOXO $(\mu \mathbf{M})$ | LPPC-Q7 $(\mu \mathbf{M})$ | CI value |
| 10 | 6.25 | 0.69 |
| 5 | 6.25 | 0.6 |
| 2.5 | 6.25 | 0.35 |
| 1.25 | 6.25 | 0.44 |
| 0.625 | 6.25 | 0.4 |
| 0.3125 | 6.25 | 0.53 |
| 0.15625 | 6.25 | 0.6 |
| 0.5 | 100 | 0.29 |
| 0.5 | 50 | 0.29 |
| 0.5 | 25 | 0.33 |
| 0.5 | 12.5 | 0.34 |
| 0.5 | 6.25 | 0.36 |
| 0.5 | 3.125 | 0.58 |
| 0.5 | 1.5625 | 1.03 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table S2. CI value table list of different drug combinations.
The different treatments were defined as having an additive effect ( $\mathrm{CI}=1$ ), synergism $(\mathrm{CI}<1)$, or antagonism $(\mathrm{CI}>1)$.

