

International Journal of Medical Sciences

2021; 18(5): 1207-1215. doi: 10.7150/ijms.47494

Research Paper

Identification of parameters in routine blood and coagulation tests related to the severity of COVID-19

Rongrong Ding¹, Zongguo Yang², Dan Huang¹, Yanbing Wang¹, Xiufen Li¹, Xinlan Zhou¹, Li Yan¹, Wei Lu¹, Zhanqing Zhang^{1 \boxtimes}

1. Department of Hepatobiliary Medicine, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, Shanghai 201508, China.

2. Department of Integrative Medicine, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, Shanghai 201508, China.

🖂 Corresponding author: Zhanqing Zhang, MD, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University, Caolang Road 2901, Jinshan District, Shanghai 201508, China. Tel.: +8621-37990333ext.3245. E-mail: doctorzzqsphc@163.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7709-9027.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.04.27; Accepted: 2020.12.19; Published: 2021.01.14

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to identify the predictive value of simple markers in routine blood and coagulation tests for the severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

Methods: A total of 311 consecutive COVID-19 patients, including 281 patients with mild/moderate COVID-19 and 30 patients with severe/life-threatening COVID-19, were retrospectively enrolled. Logistic modeling and ROC curve analyses were used to assess the indexes for identifying disease severity.

Results: Lymphocyte and eosinophil counts of COVID-19 patients in the severe/life-threatening group were significantly lower than those of patients in the mild/moderate group (P < 0.001). Coagulation parameters, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) levels and procalcitonin levels were higher in the severe/life-threatening group compared with the mild/moderate group (all P < 0.05). Univariate and multivariate logistic models revealed that hsCRP and fibrinogen degradation products (FDPs) were predictors of severe COVID-19 (OR = 1.072, P = 0.036; and OR = 1.831, P = 0.036, respectively). The AUROCs of hsCRP and FDP for predicting severe/life-threatening COVID-19 were 0.850 and 0.766, respectively. The optimal cutoffs of hsCRP and FDP for the severe/life-threatening type of COVID-19 were 22.41 mg/L and 0.95 µg/ml, respectively.

Conclusion: Serum CRP and FDP levels are positively related to the severity of COVID-19. This finding indicates that CRP and FDP levels may potentially be used as early predictors for severe illness and help physicians triage numerous patients in a short time.

Key words: Coronavirus disease 2019; COVID-19; highly sensitive C-reactive protein; FDP; fibrinogen degradation products

Introduction

An outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by person-to-person transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has spread rapidly worldwide with a spectrum of disease ranging from asymptomatic to critical illness [1]. Globally, greater than 40 million cases of COVID-19 have now been reported to the WHO with greater than one million deaths [2]. Given that COVID-19 patients with mild illness or asymptomatic carriers are prone to transmit the virus, the number of new and severe cases is increasing

rapidly every day [3]. Due to the genomic homologies of coronavirus pathogens, COVID-19 exhibits a similar clinical course and pathological features as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) [4-6]. Although most patients with COVID-19 are mild and can recover gradually after two weeks, approximately 15-20% of these patients develop severe illness [7,8]. Moreover, patients with severe disease may quickly develop acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), acute heart injury, secondary infection, and even multiple organ failure [1,6]. Therapeutic measures, such as antiviral treatment, can contribute to alleviating severe cases of COVID-19 and improve the prognosis [9]. Therefore, it is critically important to quickly and accurately recognize the severity of COVID-19 during the early stages of disease according to simple clinical parameters, so treatment can be initiated for severe cases in a timely manner.

Recently, various studies reported that laboratory changes, including hematologic parameters and coagulation indicators, correlated with poor prognosis in patients with severe pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2 [10,11-13]. Hematologic parameters, including leucocytes, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR), have been reported as indicators in diagnosing and stratifying the risk of infectious diseases [14]. A recent study indicated that the NLR may represent a reliable marker for evaluating the severity of COVID-19[15]. Moreover, coagulation dysfunction in COVID-19 patients is associated with an increased risk of death [16]. SARS-CoV-2 may activate the innate immune system to clear the virus. However, an excessive immune response can cause an inflammatory storm, destroy microcirculation, activate the coagulation system and lead to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) [17]. Currently, some coagulation parameters, such as D-dimer and fibrin degradation product (FDP), have been described as prognostic factors of severe disease [10,12]. However, studies on the predictive performances of hematologic parameters and coagulation markers in COVID-19 patients are on-going, and the clinical value of these markers in predicting severe illness needs to be further confirmed.

Therefore, in this retrospective study, we aimed to examine the correlation between hematologic parameters and coagulation markers and the severity of COVID-19. We also sought further identify any biomarkers that could serve as potential predictors of severe illness.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The study protocol and informed consent documents were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University (YJ-2020-S111-02). All COVID-19 patients provided written informed consent during admission.

Patients

A total of 311 patients with COVID-9 confirmed by the SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test (RT-PCR) who were admitted to Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University from January 20 to March 15 were enrolled in our retrospective study. Clinical and laboratory data of the patients were collected from medical records. The laboratory data, including routine blood test parameters, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) measurement, coagulation tests, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), were investigated.

Data collection

Routine blood test results; hsCRP; coagulation parameters, including APTT, FDP, fibrinogen (FIB), PT, international normalized ratio (INR), prothrombin time activity (PTA), and D-dimer; and ESR were determined on the first day after admission. All laboratory tests were conducted in the Department of Medical Laboratory, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, Fudan University.

Definition

Two inflammatory predictors, including the monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR) and neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), were included in this analysis.

Outcomes

Based on the 7th trial version of the "Diagnosis and treatment program of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia" released by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China [18], COVID-19 patients were divided into mild/moderate and severe/life-threatening groups. Patients meeting any of the following criteria were diagnosed with severe/life-threatening type: 1) polypnea with a respiratory rate \geq 30 breaths per minute; 2) finger oxygen saturation \leq 93% in a resting state; 3) PaO2/FiO2 \leq 300 mmHg; 4) respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation; 5) emerging shock; and 6) combined with other organ failure requiring ICU care. Severe/life-threatening signs persisting for up to one week were considered severe disease.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 22.0). The continuous variables are presented as the mean \pm SD or median (range), which were compared using the independent *t* test or the Mann-Whitney *U* test, respectively. Categorical variables are presented as proportions and were compared using the chi-square test. Logistic regression models were used to assess the correlation between the laboratory parameters and disease severity. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and its 95% confidence

interval (CI) were calculated to evaluate the prediction efficiency of the potential predictors. Decision curve analysis was conducted using the rmda package in the R program [19]. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics

A total of 311 patients (162 males and 149 females) with COVID-19 were enrolled in the study. Among them, 281 (90.4%) patients were in the mild/moderate group, and 30 (9.6%) were in the severe/life-threatening group. The clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients are presented in Table 1. The median age of all of the patients was 51 years (36-64 years). Patients with severe/lifethreatening COVID-19 were significantly older than the patients with mild/moderate COVID-19 (median age, 64.5 years (56.3-71.2 years) vs 48 years (36-68 vears), P < 0.001). Of the enrolled patients, 142 (50.53%) male patients were in the mild/moderate group, and 20 (66.67%) male patients were in the severe/life-threatening group. No significant differences in comorbidities were noted between the mild/moderate and severe/life-threatening groups.

The most common initial signs and symptoms of the disease were fever (81.99%) and cough (54.66%). Less common symptoms included fatigue (19.94%), chest tightness (12.54%), headache and muscle pain (15.76%), diarrhea (7.72%), and nausea and loss of appetite (6.11%). In the severe/life-threatening group, 7 patients (23.33%) received noninvasive ventilation, 7 patients (23.77%) received invasive ventilation, and 6 patients (20.00%) received invasive ventilation and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). In the mild/moderate group, 228 patients (81.14%) received antiviral therapy, 83 patients (29.54%) received antibiotic therapy, 87 patients (30.96%) received treatment with thymosin alpha-1, and 34 (12.1%)received treatment patients with corticosteroids. In the severe/threatening group, all of the patients received antiviral and antibiotic therapy, and most of the patients received corticosteroid and thymosin alpha-1 therapy (93.33% and 96.67%, respectively). Significant differences in the number of patients who received antiviral therapy, antibiotic therapy, corticosteroids and thymosin alpha-1 were noted between the two groups (all P < 0.01). Five patients with life-threatening COVID-19 died.

| Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of patients infected with COVI | D-19 |
|---|------|
|---|------|

| | All patients (n=311) | Mild/Ordinary (n=281) | Severe/life-threatening (n=30) | P value |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years), median (range) | 51.0 (36.0-64.0) | 48.0 (35.5-68.0) | 64.5 (56.3-71.2) | < 0.001 |
| Male (n, %) | 162 (52.09) | 142 (50.53) | 20 (66.67) | 0.093 |
| Comorbidity | | | | |
| Hypertension (n, %) | 79 (25.40) | 68 (24.20) | 11 (36.67) | 0.136 |
| Cardiovascular disease (n, %) | 20 (6.43) | 17 (6.04) | 3 (10.00) | 0.278 |
| COPD (n, %) | 7 (2.25) | 5 (1.78) | 2 (6.67) | 0.086 |
| Diabetes (n, %) | 31 (9.97) | 25 (8.89) | 6 (20.00) | 0.054 |
| Chronic viral hepatitis (n, %) | 9 (2.89) | 7 (2.49) | 2 (6.67) | 0.195 |
| Fatty liver disease (n, %) | 24 (7.72) | 22 (7.83) | 2 (6.67) | 0.821 |
| Signs and symptoms | | | | |
| Fever (n, %) | 259 (81.99) | 225 (80.07) | 30 (100.00) | 0.007 |
| Cough (n, %) | 170 (54.66) | 150 (53.38) | 20 (66.67) | 0.165 |
| Chest tightness (n, %) | 39 (12.54) | 18 (6.41) | 21 (70.00) | < 0.001 |
| Nausea and loss of appetite (n, %) | 19 (6.11) | 16 (5.69) | 3 (10.00) | 0.410 |
| Fatigue (n, %) | 62 (19.94) | 55 (19.57) | 7 (23.33) | 0.494 |
| Diarrhoea (n, %) | 24 (7.72) | 24 (8.54) | 0 | |
| Headache and muscle pain (n, %) | 49 (15.76) | 47 (16.73) | 2 (6.67) | 0.192 |
| Oxygen support | | | | |
| Nasal catheter oxygen (n, %) | 94 (30.23) | 84 (29.89) | 10 (33.33) | 0.697 |
| Non-invasive ventilation orhigh-flow nasal cannula (n, %) | 10 (3.22) | 3 (1.07) | 7 (23.33) | < 0.001 |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation (n, %) | 7 (2.25) | 0 | 7 (23.33) | |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation and ECMO (n, %) | 6 (1.93) | 0 | 6 (20.00) | |
| Treatment strategies | | | | |
| Antiviral therapy | 258 (82.96) | 228 (81.14) | 30 (100.00) | 0.004 |
| Antibiotic therapy | 113 (36.33) | 83 (29.54) | 30 (100.00) | < 0.001 |
| Use of corticosteroid | 62 (19.94) | 34 (12.10) | 28 (93.33) | < 0.001 |
| Use of thymosin alpha-1 | 116 (37.30) | 87 (30.96) | 29 (96.67) | < 0.001 |
| Death | 5 (1.61) | 0 | 5 (16.67) | |

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases; ECMO: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenator.

Table 2. Levels of inflammation and coagulation parameters in patients with COVID-19 on admission

| | All patients (n=311) | Mild/Ordinary (n=281) | Severe/Life-threatening (n=30) | P value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Blood routine tests | | | - | |
| WBC (×109/L) | 4.72 (3.89-5.97) | 4.72 (3.91-5.92) | 4.95 (3.74-7.64) | 0.292 |
| RBC (×1012/L) | 4.46 (4.06-4.87) | 4.45 (4.05-4.87) | 4.59 (4.08-4.97) | 0.462 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 135.00 (125.75-147.00) | 135.00 (126.00-147.00) | 141.00 (123.25-148.25) | 0.705 |
| Neutrophil (×10º/L) | 2.99 (2.39-4.01) | 2.88 (2.35-3.90) | 3.54 (2.83-5.29) | 0.005 |
| Lymphocyte (×10 ⁹ /L) | 1.12 (0.79-1.48) | 1.14 (0.84-1.50) | 0.68 (0.49-1.02) | < 0.001 |
| Eosinophils | 0.01 (0.00-0.02) | 0.01 (0.00-0.03) | 0.00 (0.00-0.00) | < 0.001 |
| Platelet (×10º/L) | 177.5 (143.0-221.0) | 179.0 (143.2-223.7) | 146.0 (120.7-201.7) | 0.042 |
| ≥100 (n, %) | 299 (96.14%) | 271 (96.44%) | 28 (93.33%) | 0.947 |
| 80-100 (n, %) | 5 (1.61%) | 3 (1.07%) | 2 (6.67%) | 0.021 |
| <80 (n, %) | 7 (2.25%) | 7 (2.49) | 0 | |
| Monocyte (×10 ⁹ /L) | 0.42 (0.33-0.57) | 0.42 (0.35-0.56) | 0.29 (0.24-0.64) | 0.081 |
| Coagulation parameters | | | | |
| INR | 1.01 (0.97-1.05) | 1.01 (0.97-1.04) | 1.04 (0.97-1.10) | 0.109 |
| D-dimer (ug/ml) | 0.43 (0.29-0.77) | 0.41 (0.28-0.69) | 0.91 (0.53-1.57) | < 0.001 |
| 1-3 (n, %) | 38 (12.22%) | 28 (9.96%) | 10 (33.33%) | < 0.001 |
| ≥3 (n, %) | 4 (1.28%) | 0 | 4 (13.33%) | |
| PT (second) | 13.4 (13.00-13.80) | 13.35 (13.00-13.80) | 13.70 (12.90-14.00) | 0.205 |
| Prolongation of PT | | | | |
| >6 (n, %) | 1 (0.32%) | 1 (0.35%) | 0 | |
| 3-6 (n, %) | 2 (0.64%) | 2 (0.71%) | 0 | |
| <3 (n, %) | 25 (8.04%) | 20 (7.12%) | 5 (16.67%) | 0.071 |
| PTA | 99.0 (92.0-106.0) | 99.0 (93.0-106.0) | 93.0 (79.0-99.0) | 0.001 |
| APTT(second) | 39.5 (36.2-42.5) | 39.05 (36.10-41.92) | 42.40 (38.45-46.00) | 0.001 |
| FIB (g/L) | 4.26 (3.54-5.17) | 4.19 (3.49-5.08) | 4.72 (4.19-5.37) | 0.009 |
| FDP (µg/ml) | 0.95 (0.48-1.76) | 0.92 (0.42-1.54) | 2.05 (1.06-4.20) | < 0.001 |
| Other systemic inflammation | n parameters | | | |
| NLR | 2.84 (1.89-3.84) | 2.72 (1.85-3.69) | 5.33 (3.10-9.26) | < 0.001 |
| MLR | 0.37 (0.28-0.54) | 0.36 (0.27-0.52) | 0.51 (0.33-0.65) | 0.009 |
| hsCRP (mg/L) | 5.18 (11.07-30.80) | 9.90 (4.60-23.58) | 50.88 (25.05-83.25) | < 0.001 |
| Procalcitonin (ng/ml) | 0.02 (0.03-0.05) | 0.02 (0.02-0.05) | 0.08 (0.06-0.15) | < 0.001 |
| ESR (mm/Hour) | 27.75 (70.00-91.00) | 70.00 (28.00-91.25) | 68.00 (39.25-90.00) | 0.628 |

WBC, white blood cells; INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin activity; PTA, prothrombin time activity; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIB, fibrinogen; FDP, fibrinogen degradation products; hsCRP, highly sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

Routine blood tests and coagulation data

Inflammation and coagulation parameters on admission were compared between the mild/ moderate and severe/life-threatening groups (Table 2). No significant differences in WBC counts, RBC counts, hemoglobin, monocyte counts, INR, PT or ESR were observed between the two groups (P > 0.05 for all). counts The blood classified of the severe/life-threatening patients exhibited an increase in neutrophils (median 3.54×10^9 /L vs. 2.88×10^9 /L, P = 0.005) and a decrease in lymphocytes (median 0.68) $\times 10^{9}$ /L vs 1.15 $\times 10^{9}$ /L, P < 0.001) and eosinophils (median 0 vs. 0.01×109/L, P < 0.001). A similar difference was observed for platelet counts (median 146.0×10^9 /L vs 179.0×10^9 /L, P = 0.042). Compared with the mild/moderate group, the severe/lifethreatening group exhibited higher D-dimer, APTT, FIB, and FDP levels (median 0.41 vs 0.91, 39.05 vs 42.40, 4.19 vs 4.72, and 0.92 vs 2.05, respectively). The indexes related to systemic inflammation, such as the NLR (median 5.33 vs 2.72), MLR (median 0.51 vs 0.36), hsCRP (median 50.88 vs 9.90) level, and procalcitonin (median 0.08 vs 0.02) level, increased significantly more in the severe/life-threatening COVID-19 group compared with the mild/moderate group.

Predictive factors of COVID-19 severity

The predictive factors for the severe type of COVID-19 are presented in **Table 3**. According to univariate analysis, cardiovascular disease, old age, WBC counts, low lymphocytes, high neutrophils, low eosinophils, NLR, MLR, hsCRP, procalcitonin, D-dimer, PTA, APTT, FIB, FDP, antibiotic therapy, use of corticosteroids, and use of thymosin alpha-1 were significantly correlated with the severity of COVID-19 (all P<0.05). According to the multivariate analysis, only hsCRP and FDP were predictors of COVID-19 severity (OR = 1.072, 95% CI = 1.005 - 1.145, P = 0.036; OR = 1.831, 95% CI = 1.040 - 3.223, P = 0.0036, respectively).

Predictive performances of serum inflammation and coagulation parameters for COVID-19 severity

The performances of hsCRP and FDP in predicting the severity of COVID-19 were calculated using ROC curves. The ROC curves of hsCRP and FDP are presented in **Figure 1**. The AUROCs of the indexes for predicting COVID-19 severity are shown in **Table 4**. The AUROCs of hsCRP and FDP for predicting severe/life-threatening COVID-19 were

0.850 (95% CI = 0.798-0.893) and 0.766 (95% CI = 0.714-0.812), respectively. Based on the estimated AUROCs to predict the severity of COVID-19, the performance of hsCRP versus FDP was comparable (P = 0.054). In addition, we conducted decision curve analysis to further investigate the clinical application values of the two markers. The decision curve analyses of hsCRP and FDP for predicting the severity of COVID-19 are presented in **Figure 2A**. The results showed that hsCRP exhibited the highest net benefit at any given threshold. Based on the above findings, we further plotted clinical impact curves to evaluate the clinical impact. The results demonstrated good predictive power of the two markers (**Figure 2B** and **C**).

Predictive thresholds and accuracies of serum indexes for severe/life-threatening COVID-19

The predictive thresholds and accuracies of serum indexes for severe/life-threatening COVID-19 are presented in **Table 5**. Maximizing the sum of sensitivity and specificity, the optimal cutoffs of hsCRP and FDP were 22.41 mg/L and 0.95 μ g/ml, respectively, for predicting the severe/life-threatening type of COVID-19. To obtain a sensitivity and specificity of at least 90%, the cutoffs of hsCRP were 9.85 mg/L and 46.42 mg/L, respectively, and the cutoffs of FDP were 0.78 μ g/ml and 2.57 μ g/ml, respectively.



Figure 1. Area under receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curves for the prediction of the severity of COVID-19 according to the diagnosis of severe / lift-threatening form as the reference. (A) AUROC of hsCRP; (B) AUROC of FDP; (C) AUROCs of hsCRP and FDP.

| | Table 3. Univariate and multivariate an | alyses of factors for the | prediction of severe/life-threatening patients |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|
|--|---|---------------------------|--|

| Variables | Univariate analysis OR (95% CI) | P | Multivariate analysis OR (95% CI) | P |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Hypertension, yes vs. no | 1.813 (0.822-4.000) | 0.140 | X / | |
| Cardiovascular disease, yes vs. no | 4.726 (1.778-12.567) | 0.002 | | |
| COPD, yes vs. no | 3.943 (0.731-21.267) | 0.111 | | |
| Diabetes, yes vs. no | 2.560 (0.957-6.851) | 0.061 | | |
| Chronicviral hepatitis, yes vs. no | 2.796 (0.554-14.112) | 0.213 | | |
| Fatty liver disease, yes vs. no | 0.841 (0.188-9.765) | 0.821 | | |
| Age, ≥ 60 vs. < 60 (years) | 7.032 (3.006-16.451) | < 0.001 | | |

Int. J. Med. Sci. 2021, Vol. 18

| Variables | Univariate analysis | Р | Multivariate analysis | Р |
|---|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------|
| | OR (95% CI) | | OR (95% CI) | |
| WBC (×109/L) | 1.177 (1.042-1.329) | 0.009 | | |
| RBC (×1012/L) | 1.200 (0.611-2.356) | 0.597 | | |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 1.002 (0.978-1.027) | 0.851 | | |
| Monocytes (×10 ⁹ /L) | 2.174 (0.436-10.830) | 0.343 | | |
| Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L) | 0.995 (0.988-1.001) | 0.126 | | |
| Lymphocyte, ≤ 0.5 vs. >0.5 ($\times 10^9/L$) | 50.545 (10.112-252.653) | < 0.001 | | |
| Neutrophil, >6.3 vs. ≤ 6.3 (×10 ⁹ /L) | 6.251 (2.270-17.210) | < 0.001 | | |
| Eosinophil < 0.02 vs. $\ge 0.02 (\times 10^9/L)$ | 6.932 (1.614-29.775) | 0.009 | | |
| NLR | 1.269 (1.137-1.416) | < 0.001 | | |
| MLR | 12.017 (3.578-40.356) | < 0.001 | | |
| hsCRP (mg/L) | 1.045 (1.029-1.062) | < 0.001 | 1.072 (1.005-1.145) | 0.036 |
| Procalcitonin (ng/ml) | 11.034 (3.184-38.244) | < 0.001 | | |
| ESR (mm/Hour) | 1.002 (0.991-1.012) | 0.747 | | |
| INR | 3.293 (0.132-82.035) | 0.468 | | |
| PT (second) | 0.874 (0.666-1.147) | 0.331 | | |
| PTA | 0.956 (0.937-0.976) | < 0.001 | | |
| APTT (second) | 1.058 (1.008-1.110) | 0.023 | | |
| FIB (g/L) | 1.509 (1.127-2.020) | 0.006 | | |
| FDP (ug/ml) | 1.045 (1.005-1.088) | 0.029 | 1.831 (1.040-3.223) | 0.036 |
| D-dimer, ≥1.0 vs. <1.0 (µg/ml) | 7.687 (3.396-17.400) | < 0.001 | | |
| Antiviral therapy, yes vs. no | 6.741 (0.898-56.062) | 0.064 | | |
| Antibiotic therapy, yes vs. no | 69.181 (9.271-516.254) | < 0.001 | | |
| Use of corticosteroid, yes vs. no | 65.382 (18.817-277.285) | < 0.001 | | |
| Use of thymosin alpha-1, yes vs. no | 31.218 (7.274-133.978) | < 0.001 | | |

All the variables including WBC, neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, platelet, NLR, MLR, hsCRP, procalcitonin, ESR, INR, D-dimer, PT, PTA, APTT, PTA, FIB, FDP were included in the univariate analysis as continuous data. Only variables with p < 0.05 in univariate model were included in the multivariate analysis. WBC, white blood cells; INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin activity; PTA, prothrombin time activity; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIB, fibrinogen; FDP, fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products; hsCRP, highly sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate.

 Table 4. Predictive performances of laboratory data for severe COVID-19

| Indexes | AUROC | 95%CI | P value | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|
| hsCRP (mg/L) | 0.850 | 0.798-0.893 | < 0.0001 | | | |
| FDP (ug/ml) | 0.766 | 0.798-0.893 | < 0.0001 | | | |
| Comparison of AUROCs | | | | | | |
| hsCRP and FDP | P = 0.054 | | | | | |

Discussion

The present study mainly demonstrated that hsCRP and FDP provided the greatest contribution to the prediction of critical illness by examining the results of hematologic parameters and coagulation function of COVID-19 patients.

Hematologic indexes, including lymphocyte, neutrophil, eosinophil counts, and NLR, helped to stratify COVID-19 patients. Our results corresponded to previous studies [20-22]. Qin *et al.* [21] reported that compared to mild cases, severe cases tended to have lower lymphocyte counts, higher WBCs and NLRs, and lower percentages of monocytes, eosinophils and basophils in a cohort of 450 COVID-19 patients. A meta-analysis including 3377 COVID-19 patients showed that patients with severe and lethal illness had significantly higher WBC and lower lymphocyte and platelet counts [22]. The NLR is typically used to identify bacterial infection severity and the prognosis of cardiovascular disease [23, 24]. For COVID-19 patients, elevated NLR is an independent predictor

for poor clinical outcome [15, 25]. The combination of NLR and MLR potentially helped to predict severe COVID-19 with an AUROC of 0.93 [26]. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 causes an increase in inflammation, which leads to anemia owing to the destruction of RBCs and decreased erythrogenesis [26, 27]. In contrast to these studies, no significant differences were noted between our groups with respect to RBC levels. Previous studies reported that COVID-19 patients with platelet counts of less than $100 \times 10^9/L$ only accounted for 5%; however, platelet counts of less than 150×109/L were identified in 70-95% of patients with severe COVID-19 [6, 7]. Our findings were also consistent with those of these studies. Thus, thrombocytopenia in patients with COVID-19 did not seem to be a key predictor of COVID-19 progression [6, 28].

Elevated serum CRP levels in infected patients may be potential biomarkers for the diagnosis of infectious diseases [29]. Currently, studies have reported that higher CRP levels are associated with adverse aspects of COVID-19, such as the development of ARDS, elevated troponin T levels, myocardial injury, and death [11, 30, 31]. In our study, CRP levels in patients with severe/life-threatening COVID-19 were significantly increased compared with mild/moderate cases, which is consistent with earlier similar studies [32, 33]. Furthermore, our study demonstrated very good performance of hsCRP in predicting the critical stage of COVID-19 with an AUROC of 0.85 and a cutoff level of 22.41 mg/L. In a large study that included 220 patients, the authors indicated that the AUROC of hsCRP for the early diagnosis of pneumonia complicated by sepsis was 0.82 with a cutoff of 55 mg/L [34]. A study by Herold *et al.* [35] indicated that IL-6 and CRP highly predicted the need for invasive ventilation with maximal values > 80 pg/ml and > 97 mg/l, respectively. These results

indicated that CRP could be used as a single tool to stratify COVID-19 patients. Clinically, elevated CRP levels may be an early indicator of nosocomial infection in patients with slow recovery of COVID-19 and may inform doctors to provide empirical antibiotic treatment as soon as possible to prevent deterioration of prognosis [7, 36].



Figure 2. Decision curve analysis and clinical impact curves. Decision curve analysis for predicting the severity of COVID-19 of hsCRP and FDP (A); "None" refers to all the COVID-19 patients are considered as not having the outcome (severe / life-threatening form) and "All" to all the COVID-19 patients are considered as having the outcome. Clinical impact curves of hsCRP (B) and FDP (C); The number of high-risk patients and the number of high-risk patients with the outcome are plotted at different threshold probabilities within a given population.

 Table 5. Predictive thresholds and Accuracies of Serum indexes

 for severe COVID-19

| Indexes | Cutoff | Se (%) | Sp (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Accuracy |
|--------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|
| hsCRP (mg/L) | 22.41 | 84.00 | 73.49 | 28.1 | 97.4 | 0.74 |
| | 9.85* | 92.00 | 49.77 | 18.5 | 98.1 | 0.54 |
| | 46.42** | 52.00 | 91.72 | 40.9 | 93.9 | 0.86 |
| FDP (ug/ml) | 0.95 | 86.21 | 53.24 | 18.6 | 96.9 | 0.57 |
| | 0.78* | 93.10 | 44.24 | 17.1 | 98.1 | 0.49 |
| | 2.57** | 41.38 | 91.37 | 37.2 | 92.7 | 0.86 |

Se, sensitivity; Sp, specificity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

Cutoffs * were established by obtaining a sensitivity of at least 90%; Cutoffs ** were established by obtaining a specificity of at least 90%.

Coagulation is a very organized process involving the interaction of endothelial cells, platelets and coagulation factors. Abnormal coagulation appears to be an important issue in COVID-19 patients. Recent data support that COVID-19 patients are at high risk of developing disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) or even death [7, 10]. Higher levels of D-dimer and FDP levels are associated with poor prognosis in patients with COVID-19[10]. A meta-analysis reported that elevated fibrinogen and FDP levels on admission were associated with an increased risk of poor outcome in COVID-19 patients [37]. The present study found that compared to patients with mild/moderate COVID-19, the PTA value in patients with severe/life-threatening illness was lower, whereas APTT, D-dimer, FDP, and FIB levels were higher. These results confirmed findings from earlier similar studies [10, 12]. Additionally, our study indicated that FDP was a risk factor associated with the development of critical COVID-19; more importantly, we identified that the AUROC of the FDP level was 0.71 with a cutoff of $0.95 \,\mu$ g/ml. These findings strengthen the suggestion that routine monitoring of coagulation parameters may represent a potentially useful tool to improve the early diagnosis of critical COVID-19 and establish an accurate therapeutic strategy [38,39]. It has been widely demonstrated that coagulation is activated and accelerated in response to infections because this mechanism may increase the physiological response [40,41]. The mechanisms of coagulation dysfunction in COVID-19 are complex and potentially include direct injury of endothelial cells, imbalance of inflammatory response, over activation of immune system, ischemia-reperfusion injury, and drug factors [42].

This study has several limitations. First, because this study was a single-center and retrospective study, the characteristics of the enrolled patients may not be representative, and the findings should be validated in clinical studies with more power. Second, early treatment could help promote the recovery of patients with severe COVID-19. Future studies could further investigate the dynamic changes in in hsCRP and FDP in the treatment of patients with critical COVID-19 and the impacts of these markers on disease prognosis. Third, we did not examine the imaging index to assess the value of patients' imaging parameters and the dynamic changes in predicting severe/life-threatening cases. Finally, our study did not enroll pediatric patients. Previous studies have demonstrated that children of all ages can develop COVID-19. Compared with adult patients, pediatric patients tend to have a mild COVID-19 course with a good prognosis [43, 44].

In conclusion, CRP and FDP serum levels are positively related to the severity of COVID-19. This finding indicates that CRP and FDP may potentially be used as early predictors for severe illness, and this information may help physicians triage numerous patients in a short time.

Acknowledgements

This work was not supported by any pharmaceutical company or government agency or grants from other sources.

Data availability

Datasets of the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020; 395: 507-513.
- [Internet] World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 30 October 2020. WHO. 2020: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-operational-update---3 0-october-2020.
- Hoehl S, Rabenau H, Berger A, Kortenbusch M, Cinatl J, Bojkova D, et al. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Returning Travelers from Wuhan, China. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382: 1278-1280.
- Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med. 2020; 8: 420-422.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020; 323: 1061-1069.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020; 395: 497-506.
- Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liang W, Ou C, He J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382: 1708-1720.
- Pan F, Ye T, Sun P, Gui S, Liang B, Li L, et al. Time Course of Lung Changes at Chest CT during Recovery from Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Radiology. 2020; 295: 715-721.
- Wu J, Li W, Shi X, Chen Z, Jiang B, Liu J, et al. Early antiviral treatment contributes to alleviate the severity and improve the prognosis of patients with novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). J Intern Med. 2020; 288: 128-138.
- Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z, et al. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost. 2020; 18: 844-847.
- 11. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus

Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med. 2020; 180: 934-943.

- Han H, Yang L, Liu R, Liu F, Wu K, Li J, et al. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020; 58: 1116-1120.
- Al-Samkari H, Karp Leaf RS, Dzik WH, T Calson JC, Fogerty AE, Waheed A, et al. COVID-19 and coagulation: bleeding and thrombotic manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Blood. 2020; 136: 489-500.
- Russell CD, Parajuli A, Gale HJ, et al. The utility of peripheral blood leucocyte ratios as biomarkers in infectious diseases: A systematic review and metaanalysis. J Infect. 2019; 78: 339–348.
- Peng J, Qi D, Yuan G, Deng X, Mei Y, Feng L, et al. Diagnostic value of peripheral hematologic markers for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A multicenter, cross-sectional study. J Clin Lab Anal. 2020; 34: e23475.
- J Arachchillage DR, Laffan M. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost. 2020; 18: 1233-1234.
- 17. Luo H, You C, Lu S, Fu Y, et al. Characteristics of coagulation alteration in patients with COVID-19. Ann Hematol. 2020; 20: 1-8.
- Internet] National Health Commission of The People's Republic of China. Diagnosis and management program of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia (Trial version 7). 2020: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7653p/ 202003/46c9294a7dfe4cef80dc7f5912eb1989/files/ce3e6945832a438eaae415350 a8ce964.pdf.
- Vickers AJ, Elkin EB. Decision curve analysis: a novel method for evaluating prediction models. Med Decis Making. 2006; 26: 565-574.
- Zeng F, Li L, Zeng J, Deng Y, Huang H, Chen B, et al. Can we predict the severity of coronavirus disease 2019 with a routine blood test? Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020; 130: 400-406.
- Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of Immune Response in Patients With Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020; 71: 762-768.
- Henry BM, de Oliveira MHS, Benoit S, Plebani M, Lippi G. Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a metaanalysis. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020; 58: 1021–1028.
- Haybar H, Pezeshki SMS, Saki N. Evaluation of complete blood count parameters in cardiovascular diseases: an early indicator of prognosis? Exp Mol Pathol. 2019; 110: 104267.
- Huang Z, Fu Z, Huang W, Huang K, et al. Prognostic value of neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in sepsis: A meta-analysis. Am J Emerg Med. 2020; 38: 641-647.
- Ponti G, Maccaferri M, Ruini C, Tomasi A, Ozben T. Biomarkers associated with COVID-19 disease progression. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2020; 57: 389-399.
- Sun S, Cai X, Wang H, He G, Lin Y, Lu B, et al. Abnormalities of peripheral blood system in patients with COVID-19 in Wenzhou, China. Clin Chim Acta. 2020; 507: 174-180.
- Bastug A, Bodur H, Erdogan S, Gokcinar D, Kazancioglu S, Kosovali BD, et al. Clinical and laboratory features of COVID-19: Predictors of severe prognosis. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020; 88: 106950.
- Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, Falanga A, Cattaneo M, Levi M, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost. 2020; 18: 1023-1026.
- Tachyla SA, Marochkov AV, Lipnitski AL, Nikiforova YG. The prognostic value of procalcitonin, C-reactive protein and cholesterol in patients with an infection and multiple organ dysfunction. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2017; 70: 305-310.
- Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, Cai Y, Liu T, Yang F, et al. Association of Cardiac Injury With Mortality in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Cardiol. 2020; 5: 802-810.
- Deng Y, Liu W, Liu K, Fang Y, Shang J, Zhou L, et al. Clinical characteristics of fatal and recovered cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective study. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020; 133: 1261-1267.
- Han R, Huang L, Jiang H, Dong J, Peng H, Zhang D. Early Clinical and CT Manifestations of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pneumonia. Am J Roentgenol. 2020; 215: 338-343.
- Zhang J, Dong X, Cao Y, Yuan Y, Yang Y, Yan Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. Allergy. 2020; 75: 1730-1741.
- Liu GB, Cui XQ, Wang ZB, Wen L, Duan HL. Detection of serum procalcitonin and hypersensitive C-reactive protein in patients with pneumonia and sepsis. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2018; 32: 1165-1169.
- Herold T, Jurinovic V, Arnreich C, Lipworth BJ, Hellmuth JC, von Bergwelt-Baildon M, et al. Elevated levels of IL-6 and CRP predict the need for mechanical ventilation in COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020; 146: 128-136.e4.
- Feng G, Zheng KI, Yan Q, Rios RS, Targher G, Byrne CD, et al. COVID-19 and Liver Dysfunction: Current Insights and Emergent Therapeutic Strategies. J Clin Transl Hepatol. 2020; 8: 18-24.
- Huang I, Pranata R, Lim MA, Oehadian A, Alisjahbana B. C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, D-dimer, and ferritin in severe coronavirus disease-2019: a meta-analysis. Ther Adv Respir Dis. 2020; 14: 1753466620937175.
- Lippi G, Plebani M. Laboratory abnormalities in patients with COVID-2019 infection. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020; 58: 1131-1134.

- Luo L, Xu M, Du M, Kou H, Liao D, Cheng Z, et al. Early coagulation tests predict risk stratification and prognosis of COVID-19. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12: 15918-15937.
- Minasyan H, Flachsbart F. Blood coagulation: a powerful bactericidal mechanism of human innate immunity. Int Rev Immunol. 2019; 38: 3-17.
- Gershom ES, Sutherland MR, Lollar P, Pryzdial ELG. Involvement of the contact phase and intrinsic pathway in herpes simplex virus-initiated plasma coagulation. J Thromb Haemost. 2010; 8: 1037-1043.
- Liu Y, Gao W, Guo W, Guo Y, Shi M, Dong G, et al. Prominent coagulation disorder is closely related to inflammatory response and could be as a prognostic indicator for ICU patients with COVID-19. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2020; 50: 825-832.
- Mustafa NM, Selim LA. Characterisation of COVID-19 Pandemic in Paediatric Age Group: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Virol. 2020; 128: 104395.
- Parri N, Lenge M, Buonsenso D. Children with Covid-19 in Pediatric Emergency Departments in Italy. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383: 187-190.